

## Anatoxin-a, Cylindrospermopsin, Adda Microcystins/Nodularins, & Saxitoxins Report

Project: City of Melbourne, Water Production

Submitted to: Shaniese Alexander

Organization: City of Melbourne, Water Production

Address: 6055 Lake Washington Rd. Melbourne, FL 32934

Email: shaniese.alexander@mlbfl.org

Sample Receipt Date: 31 July 19

Sample Condition: 3.9 °C upon arrival

Report# 190730\_City of Melbourne, Water Production-SRaw

Date Prepared: 1 August 19 Prepared by: Kamil Cieslik

#### Table 1: Samples analyzed

| Sample Identification | Description/Site | Collection Date | Collection Time |  |
|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
|                       |                  |                 |                 |  |
| 19-3168               | S. Raw Intake    | 30 July 19      | 1237            |  |

**Analytes**: Anatoxin-a (ANTX-A), Cylindrospermopsin (CYN), Adda Microcystins/Nodularins (Adda MCs/NODs), Saxitoxin (STX/PSTs)

### **Sample Preparation**

### Water Sample Freeze-Thaw

The sample was received and inverted for 60 seconds to mix. A subset from the sample was removed prior to cell lysis for algal identification purposes. A second subset from the sample was transferred to a 15 mL vial. Three freeze-thaw cycles were employed prior to additional sample preparation and subsequent analysis.





## **Analytical Techniques**

### Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

#### Adda MCs/NODs

A microcystins/nodularins Adda ELISA (Abraxis) was utilized for the quantitative and sensitive congener-independent detection of Adda MCs/NODs (US EPA Method 546 & Ohio EPA DES 701.0). The current method reporting limit is 0.30 ng/mL (ppb) based on kit sensitivity, dilution factors, and initial demonstration of capability.

#### STX

A saxitoxin specific ELISA (Abraxis PN 52255B) was utilized for the detection and quantification of saxitoxin and related analogs (paralytic shellfish toxins – PSTs). The current method reporting limit is 0.05 ng/mL (ppb) based on kit sensitivity and dilution factors. Based on manufacture instructions, the STX ELISA is less cross-reactive to other PSTs and will likely underestimate total PSTs/Saxitoxins. Reported cross-reactivities are as follows: NEO (1.3%), dcSTX (29%), GTX2/3 (23%), GTX5 (23%), dcGTX2/3 (1.4%), dcNEO (0.6%) & GTX1/4 (<0.2%).

## Liquid chromatography mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS)

## ANTX-A & CYN

A Waters XSelect HSS T3 2.1 x 150 mm, 3.5- $\mu$ m column was used in separation with mobile phases (methanol and water) containing acetic acid. The [M+H]<sup>+</sup> ion for ANTX-A (m/z 166) was fragmented and the product ions (m/z 91, 131, 149) were monitored. The [M+H]<sup>+</sup> ion for CYN (m/z 416) was fragmented and the product ions (m/z 194, 274, 336) were monitored. The [M+H]<sup>+</sup> ion for the internal standard [ $^{15}N_5$ ]-Cylindrospermopsin (421 m/z) was fragmented and the product ion (341 m/z) was monitored. The [M+H]<sup>+</sup> ion for the internal standard [ $^{13}C_4$ ]-Anatoxin-a (171 m/z) was fragmented and the product ion (153 m/z) was monitored. The internal standard method was utilized for all quantification.

| Abbreviations |                                      |       |                                       |  |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|--|
| NA            | Not Applicable                       | LFSM  | Lab Fortified Sample Matrix           |  |
| MDL           | Method Detection Limit               | LFSMD | Lab Fortified Sample Matrix Duplicate |  |
| MQL           | Method Quantification Limit          | LD    | Lab Duplicate                         |  |
| ND            | Not Detected above the MDL           | IS    | Internal Standard                     |  |
| Blank         | Regent Water free from interferences | _     | Not Analyzed                          |  |
| LFB           | Lab Fortified Blank                  | MRL   | Method Reporting Limit                |  |





# **Quality Control**

Table 2: QA/QC samples prepared for analyses.

| Analyte              | Concentration (ng/mL) | Sample ID         | QC<br>Type | Return        |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|
| MC-LR                | 1.0                   | 19-3168           | LFSM       | 93%           |
| CYN                  | 0.1                   | 19-3168           | LFSM       | 112%          |
| $[^{15}N_{5}]$ -CYN  | 1.0                   | 19-3167 & 19-3168 | IS         | $87 \pm 10\%$ |
| ANTX-A               | 0.1                   | 19-3168           | LFSM       | 104%          |
| $[^{13}C_4]$ -ANTX-A | 1.0                   | 19-3167 & 19-3168 | IS         | $90 \pm 6\%$  |
| STX                  | 0.2                   | 19-3168           | LFSM       | 85%           |

Additional Quality Control/Quality Assurance checks included method blanks, LFBs, and standard curves.

Table 3: Adda MC-ELISA Quality Control Value Table

| Date Analyzed:              | 1 August 19 | Requirement     | Pass/Fail |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|
| R <sup>2</sup> value:       | 0.997       | ≥0.98           | PASS      |
| %CV range STDs:             |             | ≤15%            | PASS      |
| LFB (1ppb) Recovery:        | 91%         | ±40% True Value | PASS      |
| %CV range LFB:              |             | <20%            | PASS      |
| Low CV (0.15 ppb) recovery: | 113%        | ±50% True Value | PASS      |
| LRB                         | < 0.08      | < 0.08          | PASS      |

| Qualifier | Flag   |
|-----------|--|
| CL        | Analytical result is estimated due to ineffective quenching.   |
| J         | Analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is estimated.                          |
| PT        | The reported result is estimated because the sample was not analyzed within required holding time.       |
| В         | Analytical result is estimated. Analyte was detected in associated reagent blank as well as the samples. |
| E         | Analytical result is estimated. Values achieved were outside calibration range.                          |
| N         | Spiked sample control was outside limits   |
| T         | The reported result is estimated because the sample exceeded temperature threshold when received         |





# **Summary of Results**

Table 4: Summary of results in ng/mL

| Sample ID  | Adda<br>MCs/NODs<br>(ng/mL) | CYN<br>(ng/mL)       | ANTX-A (ng/mL)       | STX (ng/mL)          |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 19-3168  | ND                          | ND                   | ND                   | ND                   |
| MRL (ng/mL)<br>Analyst Initials<br>Date Analyzed | 0.30<br>KC<br>8/1/19        | 0.05<br>MA<br>8/1/19 | 0.05<br>MA<br>8/1/19 | 0.05<br>KC<br>8/1/19 |

# **Interpretations:**

Adda MCs/NODs, CYN, ANTX-A, and STX were not detected in the submitted sample above the MRLs.

Submitted by:

Mark T. Aubel, Ph.D.

Date:

August 1, 2019

The results in this report relate only to the samples listed above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.